Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/748,143	BJORGAN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Pierre-Louis Desir	2617
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):	
(1) <u>Pierre-Louis Desir</u> .	(3)	*
(2) <u>Kurt Berger</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>15 February 2007</u> .		•
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☒ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant	2)⊠ applicant's representativ	e]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.	
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1 and 15</u> .		
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Heinonen et a.</u> .		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached.	y)⊠ was not reached. h)☐ I	N/A.
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>Applicants' attorney arguments</u> : <u>Applicants' attorney arguments</u> : <u>Applicants' attorney arguments</u> : (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendallowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.	ments appear to have overconbout the stated reference is not be stated reference is not be stated which the examiner agony of the amendments that we have the state of the sta	me the Heinonen et al. eeded. reed would render the claims
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERQUIREMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERQUIREMENTS ON REVERSE SIDE OF ON ATTACHED SHEET.	last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRT ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM,	been filed, APPLICANT IS Y DAYS FROM THIS WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO
	•.	<i>∴</i>
•		
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action	Examiner's sign	nature if required